

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA CHARLESTON DIVISION

BERNARD M. WATERMON,	
Plaintiff, §	
\$	
vs. §	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:04-21806-HFF-RSC
\$	
OFFICER THOMAS of CCDC, §	
Tactical Team et al.,	
Defendants. §	

## ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE AND DISMISSING THE ACTION FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE

This is a Section 1983 action. The matter is before the Court for review of the report and recommendation (report) of the United States Magistrate Judge in which he suggests that this case be dismissed for failure to prosecute. The report is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *See Matthews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed his report on April 25, 2005. Plaintiff failed to file any objections to the report. In the absence of objections, the Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1983).

After a thorough review of the report and the record in this case pursuant to the standards set forth above, the Court adopts the report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment of this Court that this case must be **DISMISSED** for failure to prosecute.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 16<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2005, in Spartanburg, South Carolina.

s/ Henry F. Floyd HENRY F. FLOYD UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

\*\*\*\*

## NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

Plaintiff is hereby notified that he has a right to appeal this Order within thirty (30) days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.